

812 Palo Alto Ct.
San Marcos, CA 92069
June 16, 2014

FILED

2014 JUN 18 A 10:50

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Steven Rhodes United States Bankruptcy Judge
US Bankruptcy Court Eastern District of Michigan
211 West Fort Street
Detroit, Michigan 48226

In re: Chapter 9 City of Detroit, Michigan, Case No. 13-53846 Debtor.

Dear Judge Rhodes,

This probably won't get read by any of the recipients, but I just have to express my disappointment, shock and dismay. I am appalled at the treatment proposed for those of us who HONESTLY spent our working lives for the betterment of the City of Detroit. Now as a reward, we're the ones who are suffering for the criminal activities of the few. I am confused as to what this country has done to go so far away from our principles of law.

I do not understand the information below. To whom and how do I file my objections to the PLAN?

13-53846-swr Doc 5259 Filed 06/09/14 Entered 06/09/14

14:51:06 Page 2 of 4

3

6. July 11, 2014 is the deadline:

- (a) For plan voting; and
- (b) For individual bondholders and individual retirees to file objections to the plan.

8. July 15, 2014 will be the date of the hearing on objections to confirmation filed by individuals without an attorney. The Court will shortly file and serve a notice of this hearing identifying the time and location of the hearing, and the individuals invited to appear.

I am a retiree and object to the PLAN for the following reasons (not in order of importance):

- I've never been notified by anyone as to whether or not I have an attorney. If I have one, I have no information as to who that person may be.
- The negotiations, allegedly on behalf of the retirees, were entered into by persons or groups selected by the same party to this suit.
- Retirees have not been given the opportunity to select who shall be their negotiators or representatives.
- Numerous legal guarantees are proposed to be invalidated by the Plan as listed in Doc 5235 Filed 06/05/14 Entered 06/05/14 16:09:29 I do NOT subscribe to this.
- Proposed changes in retiree health plan coverage negates availability to those not living in the immediate Detroit area. Reduction is discriminatory based on residence of retiree.

- The PLAN proposes Theft of money and earned, vested benefits from retirees.
- PLAN proposes Elder abuse
- PLAN proposes Extortion
- PLAN proposes Age discrimination
- PLAN proposes Breach of contract(s)
- PLAN proposes Disregard for the laws of Michigan and the United States.
- PLAN proposes Violation of the state of Michigan and Federal constitutions
- Materials regarding informational meetings held have NOT been made available to any retiree who lives outside the Detroit area.

If it is possible to enter the above as a formal objection, I request that it be so entered.
Unfortunately, due to the fact that I live so far away, I would be unable to attend any proceedings
in the court.

Sincerely,



Louise E. Anderson, B.S., M.P.A.

CC: The Detroit News
The Detroit Free Press
The Michigan Chronicle